Topics in computer architecture

Compilers and architecture

P.J. Drongowski SandSoftwareSound.net

Copyright © 1990-2013 Paul J. Drongowski

Compilers and architecture

- Source: "Compilers and computer architecture," William A. Wulf, Computer, July 1981.
- Cost of hardware is falling dramatically
- Cost of software is rising rapidly
- Can hardware simplify the software task
- Goal: Better instruction sets to:
 - Simplify compilers
 - Improve size and speed of compiled programs
- Simplistic interpretation leads to mistaken inferences
 - Myth: Object code efficiency is unimportant
 - Aspirations grow faster than technology
 - Example: Graphical user interfaces
 - Must be "responsive"
 - Costly in both compute and memory resources
- Technological improvements
 - Hardware costs will continue to fall
 - Machine speed will increase
 - Memory will become more dense and less expensive
- User expectations will grow even faster
 - There will never be a memory or cycle surplus
 - Must increase the return from finite resources

Costs (compiler and architecture)

- · Costs and benefits
 - 1 Designing (writing) compilers
 - 2 Designing the hardware architecture
 - 3 Designing the hardware implementation of the ISA
 - 4 Manufacturing the hardware
 - 5 Executing the compiler
 - 6 Executing the compiled programs
- · Observations
 - · All costs except 4 have increased
 - · Hardware manufacturing costs have decreased
 - · VLSI (chip level) design is expensive
 - · All design activities are one time, non-recurring costs
 - Amortize over the number of units sold
 - · Design a compiler-oriented architecture if
 - Compiler related costs (design, compile, execute)
 - Offsets cost of designing new architecture
 - · More expensive to design hardware than software
 - Software lifetime exceeds lifetime of technology
 - · Lifetime of architecture is longer than implementation
 - Architecture often caters to technology
 - Technology can pass an architecture by
 - · Cost of compiling and execution
 - Not strictly comparable to other costs
 - · Dollar cost can be assigned
 - Correct measure: Things that cannot be done as a Consequence of inefficiencies

Principles

- Regularity
 - Apply a feature in the same way everywhere
 - "Law of least astonishment"
- Orthogonality
 - Divide machine into a set of separate concerns
 - Define each feature in isolation of the others
 - Treat datatypes, addressing, operations independently
- Composability
 - Compose orthogonal, regular notions in arbitrary ways
 - Possible to use every addressing mode with every operator and every datatype

Case analysis

- Compiler performs an enormous case analysis
- Objective is to find best object code for source program
- Regularity, orthogonality, composability simplify analysis
- Every deviation is an *ad hoc* case to be considered
- Example: So-called "general" register machines
 - Implies that a register can be applied to any purpose
 - Exceptions (special cases)
 - Multiplicands in "even" registers
 - Double precision operands in even-odd pairs
 - Zero in indexing field implies no indexing (Makes the zeroth register unavailable for indexing)
 - Some operations are only register to register

Specific principles

- One versus all
 - · Either precisely one way to do something
 - Or, all ways should be possible
- Provide primitives, not solutions
 - Synthesize solutions from primitives
 - Do not attempt to provide the solution itself
- Observations: one versus all
 - These extreme positions eliminate case analysis
 - Example: Conditional branching
 - EQUALITY and LESS THAN
 - \Rightarrow Only one way to generate each of six relations
 - Direct implementation of all six relations
 - \Rightarrow One obvious coding for each
 - Else, find cheapest code by commuting operands
- Observations: Primitives, not solutions
 - Avoid "semantic clash"
 - Do not put too much semantic content into instruction
 - Otherwise, use is limited to specific context
 - Example: FOR, CASE, PROCEDURE calls
 - Either support only one language well, or
 - So general that they are inefficient for special cases

More specific principles

- Address computations are paths
 - Addressing is not limited to arrays and records
 - Access involves following a path of arbitrary length
 - Path is known at compile time
 - Each setp along the path is:
 - An addition (index into an array or record)
 - An indirection (through a pointer)
 - Machines typically provide a large menu of modes
 - \Rightarrow Requires exhaustive case analysis
 - Primitives, not solutions (again)
 - Different language constraints on procedures, tasks and exceptions
 - Example: C switch versus Pascal case
- Runtime environment support
 - Stack frames
 - Displays
 - Static and dynamic links
 - Exceptions
 - Processes
- Deviations
 - Only in implementation-independent fashion
 - Avoid technological anomalies
 - Look beyond current state of technology
 - Conjecture: Violations due to shortsighted view of costs

Regularity

- Operands treated symmetrically
 - Registers and memory interchangeable
 - Source and destination symmetric
- Operator datatype regularity
 - Machines usually provide several datatypes
 - Different word sizes
 - Signed/unsigned integer, floating, address
 - Operators rarily treat all regularly
 - Operators for full-word integers but not bytes
 - Condition codes set inconsistently
- · Beware of "arithmetic right shift"
- Immediate mode arithmetic
 - Frequently appearing constants: ± 1, 0
 - Special increment/decrement instructions
 - Sometimes useful only for forming addresses
 - Condition codes are not set in the same way
 - Carry not propagated beyond address size
 - Operate only on "index registers"
- Floating point instructions
 - Ideally an abstraction of real arithmetic
 - Sometimes not commutative or associative!

Orthogonality horrors

- Registers not treated alike
- Branching
 - Long and short branches
 - Displacement addressing may be unique to branches
- Addressing mode dependent operations
 - Sign-extension (not) done depending on destination
 - Even-oddness ⇒ long/short multiplication
- Different instructions for reg-reg, mem-mem, reg-mem, etc.

Composability

- Conversion
 - Relational operators
 - Relationals only affect control flow
 - HLL may allow assignment of Boolean value
 - Type coercion
 - Mismatch
 - Languages view type as property of data
 - Machines view type as property of operators
- Register allocation
 - Even oddness of register use
 - A ← B × C: Can be done "on the fly"
 - A \leftarrow (B + D) × C: Must examine whole expression
 - Load / store motion
 - Move frequently used variables into registers
 - Eliminates load and store operations
 - Even oddness may force analysis over basic block
 - Accumulator versus index register

One versus all (example)

- AND NOT provided instead of logical AND
- AND is commutative and associative
- AND NOT is neither
- Tedious analysis needed to:
 - Determine which operand to complement
 - Apply DeMorgan's laws to obtain optimal code

Primitives versus solutions

- "Semantic clash" between languages
 - Treatment of global data (e.g. COMMON, etc.)
 - Procedure parameter passing
 - FOR statements
 - Type conversions
- Machine design dilemma
 - Built-ins for one language cannot support others
 - Support for all will fail due to inefficiency
- Horrors
 - Support for only some parameter passing mechanisms
 - Certain loop models of initialization, test, recomputation
 - Address modes for certain stack frame or array layout
 - Case instructions that do (not) check boundary conditions
 - Case instructions that do (not) assume static bounds
 - Data structures different from common implementation
 - Elaborate string manipulation
- Complex instructions are usually composed of primitives

Addressing

- · HLL permit arbitrary composition of:
 - Scalars
 - · Arrays
 - · Records
 - · Pointers
- · References can be quite complex
- · Compiler must be able to handle the general case
- Further complications are due to:
 - Block structure
 - · Recursive procedures
 - · "By reference" parameter passing
- · May require use of:
 - Indexing through a "display"
 - · "Dope" (descriptor) information
 - · Several levels of indirection
- · Access is a path walking algorithm involving:
 - Indirection (following a pointer)
 - · Computing a record element displacement
 - Indexing (by an array subscript)
 - Constraint checks on subscripts and nil pointers
- Machines tend to support an *ad hoc* collection of modes
 - · No indirection at all!
 - · Indexing/indirection in a fixed order
 - Type constraints on index multiplication
 - · Limits on the size of displacement offset
 - · If more than one mode is available, choice is difficult

Environments

- Common language features
 - Recursive procedure invocation
 - Dynamic storage allocation
 - Process synchronization and communication
- Neglected areas
 - Uninitialized variables
 - Read before write
 - Set bad parity on uninitialized variables
 - Constraint checks
 - Subscript range checking
 - Case bounds checking
 - Exceptions
 - ON condition
 - Often violates hardware support for procedures
 - Debugging support
 - Force user to debug at low level (unpalatable)
 - Special debug mode (not much better)

Stacks

- Stack machines pose the same optimization problems
- Expression reordering
 - Reduces number of registers used
 - Also reduces stack depth
- Recompute or store is a difficult decision
 - Always advantageous on register machine to store
 - Must be offset by uses of value on stack